00087

c. 1969/10/28

~~This is the truth~~ (Flo Dwyer)

Leg London Oct 28

Cease fire

~~Holy~~

~~Sacrament~~

To those who want a cease fire we have offered to negotiate ~~a cease~~ unconditional cease fire -

① S.V. Nam has agreed to negotiate a cease fire -

The Enemy refuses to discuss the subject

Some suggest we should order a cease fire regardless of what enemy does -

1. This I shall not do -
2. as Commander in Chief I shall ~~not~~ order Armies to cease while the enemy continues to fire.
3. It would endanger American lives & order them ~~to not~~ to stop firing while the enemy is free to
4. ~~I shall not~~ I shall take risks for peace - but I cannot (as C in C) & will not ask American men to accept ~~cease fire~~ cease fire with their lives - by ceasing - when the enemy continues to fire.

Where we disagree with critics, ~~is~~ is not what is ~~wrong~~ wrong with our present policy but what is wrong with their plan to do it.

~~This~~ For years Americans have been confused - ~~and~~ divided. ~~to one~~

① Some of this confusion & division due to the ~~lack~~ disagreement on the facts

② It is time to know the facts

Hopefully ① why we want to win -

② what our goals are.

③ what ~~our chances are~~ (what progress in Asia than before in V. Nam)

④ what are the prospects.

Some great decisions in the offing

why we are there

what the situation is in Asia

what is at stake

Le Shell Report.

But the question I faced in June - & the one we face today is: ~~what would~~ now that we are in the war what is the best way to end it? As faced with that question - I rejected ~~then~~ that a ~~negotiated~~ I could only conclude that an ~~negotiated~~ withdrawal of all forces would be disaster & the cause of peace it was supposed to serve ~~would be the defeat of the initiative~~ - not only for S. V. Nam but for the U.S. & the disaster not only for S.V. Nam but for the cause of peace. Such a withdrawal was designed to serve -

For S. V. Nam - our ~~forces~~ withdrawal before they are prepared to take over their own defense - would mean a ~~defeat~~ repetition

For the U.S. ~~it~~ This first defeat in our history would result in a collapse of confidence in U.S. not only in Asia but throughout the world -

For the future of peace it ~~would~~ ~~not be~~ would be a vindication of those who advocate ~~violence~~ war as an instrument of ~~policy~~ - as the means to impose on the world

DUCED AT THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES

~~But~~
~~But this did not mean that we entered~~
~~the~~
~~We decided that~~
~~We decided that to complete change~~
~~We decided that~~
I chose instead to change our policy
in V. Nam from a war policy to a Peace policy.
~~and to seek a just peace in this~~
complex war fought in many fronts
~~by Entering a process~~
I am initiated a pursuit for peace
on many fronts —
1. at the Paris Conference - in 38 ~~days~~.
public offering — we have presented
~~in our public conduct~~
2. In a Speech of May 14, before the A.P.
~~we~~ + on a number of occasions -
~~have~~ have set forth

Not limited.

~~Our Position~~

There has been no response by the from Hanoi to these public proposals.

1. They ~~assert that they~~ refuse to discuss our proposals -
2. They demand that we end on their terms that we withdraw all American ~~troops~~ forces immediately & that we overthrow the govt of S. V. Nam as we leave -
3. They have responded to our public words & moderation with insult.

We however have refused to be discouraged ~~contained & have~~ been deterred by these public insults -

(1) From long experience I know that a long & bitter wars like this can cannot & will not be settled ~~today~~ in public forum -

(2) That is why in addition to the ~~public~~ public efforts & negotiations I have explored every possible private avenue that might lead to a settlement.

(3) The American people are entitled & I know have diligent am search for peace - & tonight I take the unprecedented step of for initiating disclosing some of the initiatives for peace we have taken ~~& rejection~~ & ~~rejection~~

(a) in ~~peace~~ secrecy because we thought that might open a door which publicly would be closed -

(4) Before my inauguration, I thought an individual who was directly in contact on a personal basis with the leaders of N. V. Nam -

III

To understand the consequences of immediate & complete withdrawal of American forces from V. Nam & we must go back & see how America became involved in the war in the 5 years ago:

1. We did not start the war -
2. N. V. Nam, ~~a violation of treaty agreements~~ with the support of U.S.S.R. & Communist China tried to ~~take~~ conquer S. V. Nam by ~~aggression~~ subversion & by ~~support~~ instigating & supporting a revolution within the country.
3. ~~The govt of S. V. Nam asked~~
4. ~~The U.S. responded to a request~~
3. In response to the open request of the govt of S. V. Nam - the U.S. sent first arms & then troops to help prevent the Communist takeover.
4. We did not then or now seek bases in S. V. Nam, ~~etc~~ or the ~~re~~ conquest of N. V. Nam. Our ~~limited~~ aim was to provide to people of S. V. Nam the right choose their own govt without any outside interference -

~~to We are divided~~
~~Many disagree with the decision~~
Many believe the decision to send American men to ~~assist~~ S. V. Nam ~~against aggression~~
~~Many~~ ~~Catholics, myself~~ ~~we are~~ Many believe disagree with the way the war has been conducted.
~~But the choice I face is~~
The choice we face today is this:
Now that we are in Viet Nam
How do we get out the best?

Wilson - peace - Kept us out of war - } both died -
F.D.R. - a peace candidate -
H.S.T. - split his party - so that S. Korea is free & prosperous
Ike - ended war -
JK - confrontation -

Conclusion:

The choice is clear:

1. I do not offer an easy way -
   a. Destroying N. V. Nam - would not win the peace - The Key is S. V. Nam
   others - but to point they can defend selves -
2. I do not offer easy way - get out -
3. I offer the right way: and win
   a. Withdraw - win peace -
   b. Negotiate -

Your determine the latter -

a. I know some want defeat
   "Joe is V. Nam."
b. Majority for Peace - united for Peace united against defeat
c. Dissent - but do so - America is united behind a reasonable offer - We shall not be defeated

Time for quiet majority to speak up for reasonable offer to end war -

1. 1/2 million - Hoosier poll / 70 - of all the
2. others are silent - Policy will not be made in streets it will affect enemy - Win war -
3. If they
4. Let them know - End the War - Win Peace

There is another voice -

The war will not be an issue in 72 -
It may not be in 70 -

whether a vocal minority can stop the war - what the peace does -

our fighting men can't be defeated
S. V. Nam will not break -
The question is - will America break -

History will record that we met the test of greatness

I won't predict, give a Time table -
It will not be a issue in 72 -
For great majority not an issue in 70 - (Regardless of anyone talk)

First a political point:

① Nothing I want more -

② Could have ended tomorrow -

③ Effect would be to increase danger of war -

a. Why are we there? - supported by Sec't + Chiefs.

① S. V. Nam - time to take over south

② U.S. helping S. V. Nam defend self -

③ If they succeed:

We can disagree with that decision -
We can disagree with conduct - (would have done more / done it differently)
But now we are there -

There is no easy way:

It is a clear choice -

① accept defeat - humiliation

② or end the war by our plan -

③ Cease Fire - (Both offered) on 5 occasions 1 time or out of 4

① they refuse -

② Coalition? -

① We have offered right to supervise coalition election - to run as a party - to accept results -

② We say only that S. V. Nam people shall choose -

② If imposed - a defeat - + can Comm take over

A major change in feeling:

① American family torn + riven to help other

② We should keep our troops as a shield - If a moving point - we have a shield -

③ We should help other world - any - as people who will not defend selves - can't be defended -

① But our goal is to help other fight their war - not fight for them.

② It strengthens them - the other feeling keeps them weak -

Negotiate - if at all - depends on U.S. support

① Regardless of Negotiation we should end the war -

② If could end sooner with support

Not more often by us but by them is the need